

## The Impact of Liberalisation on Women's Economic Participation and the Incidence of Gender Equality on Morocco's Economy: A Gender-Sensitive CGE Model Analysis.

Yassine EL HAJOU

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4634-0500>

Laboratory of Economic Analysis and Modelling (LEAM)

Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco

[yassine.elhajoui@um5r.ac.ma](mailto:yassine.elhajoui@um5r.ac.ma)

Radouane RAOUF

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0977-2093>

Laboratory of Economic Analysis and Modelling (LEAM)

Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco

[r.raouf@um5r.ac.ma](mailto:r.raouf@um5r.ac.ma)

Adil EZ-ZETOUNI

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3825-9651>

Laboratory of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques

Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco

[a.ez-zetouni@hcp.ma](mailto:a.ez-zetouni@hcp.ma)

Nabil EL BAOUCHARI

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6826-9899>

Laboratory of Economic Analysis and Modelling (LEAM)

Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco

[nabil.elbaouchari@um5s.net.ma](mailto:nabil.elbaouchari@um5s.net.ma)

### Article's history:

Received 15<sup>th</sup> of October, 2025; Received in revised form 9<sup>th</sup> of November, 2025; Accepted 31<sup>st</sup> of January, 2025; Available online: 16<sup>th</sup> of February, 2025. Published as article in the Volume XX, Spring, Issue 1(87), 2025.

Copyright© 2025 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the license [CC-BY 4.0.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits any further distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Suggested citation:

EL Hajoui, Y., Raouf, R., Ez-Zetouni, A., & El Baouchari, N. (2025). The impact of liberalization on the women's economy and the incidence of gender equality on the economy in Morocco: An analysis using a gender-sensitive CGE model. *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*, Volume XX, Spring, 1(87)as, 101 – 121. [https://doi.org/10.57017/jaes.v20.1\(87\).07](https://doi.org/10.57017/jaes.v20.1(87).07)

### Abstract:

The labour market participation of Moroccan women remains significantly lower than that of men. This issue has sparked academic and political debates in recent years. Numerous studies suggest solutions, including evaluating the effects of trade liberalization on female employment. Using a gender-sensitive computable general equilibrium (CGE) model calibrated to Morocco's 2019 Social Accounting Matrix (SAM), this study simulates the impact of trade liberalization on women's employment and wages.

The results of this study show that full national liberalization is pro-feminine in competitive and female labour-intensive sectors. However, it disadvantages women in less competitive and less female labour-intensive industries. This leads us to consider additional policies to stimulate women's employment in these latter sectors. Among these policies, the most effective are those targeting gender equality in social, legal, and financial aspects. These policies yield favourable labour market outcomes: increased female labour supply in various sectors and a reduction in the gender wage gap. However, they have

unfavourable macroeconomic consequences, such as production, exports, income, and investment declines. This is mainly due to the low productivity of Moroccan women compared to their male counterparts. This leads to the following conclusion: any policy aiming to increase women's participation in the labour market in Morocco must be accompanied by initiatives to improve their productivity.


**Keywords:** gender-sensitive computable general equilibrium model (CGE), social accounting matrix; social equality; legal equality; market labour.

**JEL Classification :** J16, F10, F16, F17, F14, F66.

## Introduction


The participation of women in the labour market is a significant issue in Morocco's economic and social development. Despite progress in several areas, Moroccan women continue to face notable marginalization in the workforce regarding access to employment (Figure 1) and compensation (Figure 2). This well-documented situation raises questions about the underlying factors and mechanisms that could promote a more equitable integration of women into the national economy.

Figure 1: Labor force participation rate by gender in Morocco, 1990-2022



Source: ITC (international training centre)


Figure 2: Gender income gap, in Morocco, 2004-2024 (%)



Source: ITC (international training centre)

Among the various dimensions to explore, the impact of trade liberalization on women's economic participation emerges as a crucial subject. Trade liberalization, often viewed as an opportunity to boost economic growth, can also have differentiated effects across genders, influencing employment structures, wages, and sectoral competitiveness. However, the scope and nature of these effects remain insufficiently explored, particularly in the Moroccan context, where gender inequalities in the labour market are still deep compared to other regions (see Figure 3).

Figure 3: Labour force participation rates by gender and region, 1990-2022



Source: ITC (international training centre)

Besides trade liberalization, improved health outcomes are recognized as one of the critical factors driving economic growth. Improved health outcomes, in turn, foster a healthier and more productive labour force, enhancing human capital accumulation and promoting sustained economic growth (Levine & Renelt, 1992; Bhargava et al., 2001; Bloom et al., 2004; El Baouchari & Raouf, 2024).

Given the above, the present study examines the impact of trade liberalization on women's participation in the context of Morocco using a gender-sensitive computable general equilibrium (CGE) model. The paper is structured as follows: After introduction, Section 1 provides a literature review; Section 2 outlines the methodology and data; Section 3 covers the results and discussion; and the last Section concludes the paper.

## 1. Literature Review

Most studies acknowledge that women's participation in the labour market has increased worldwide over the past decade, coinciding with the period of liberalization in developing countries. Several theories have been proposed to explain this correlation:

According to classical trade theory, a country exports goods that require more intensive use of a relatively abundant - and therefore relatively less expensive - factor and imports goods that require more intensive use of relatively scarce factors, based on its comparative advantage (Heckscher & Ohlin, 1991). Consequently, trade liberalization leads to the expansion of sectors producing export-oriented goods and increases the relative demand - and relative returns - of the abundant production factor (Samuelson & Stolper, 1941). Since developing countries generally have a more abundant labour force than capital, trade liberalization should promote the growth of labour-intensive sectors, thereby boosting the relative demand for labour (employment) and labour returns (wages).

This aspect of skill levels can also be analysed. In developed countries where the labour force is often highly skilled, trade increases the relative returns of unskilled labour in developing countries. Given that classical trade theory assumes that most of the unskilled labour in developing countries is female, trade liberalization further strengthens the demand for female labour compared to male labour, thus stimulating female employment. Consequently, women's wages should increase more rapidly compared to men's, leading to a reduction in the gender wage gap. This interpretation of classical trade theory suggests that trade liberalization helps to mitigate gender inequalities in developing countries. Furthermore, revisiting Becker's discrimination theory (1957) in an open economy context, some scholars (Black & Brainerd, 2004) argue that liberalization can exert competitive pressures that reduce employers' opportunities to engage in discrimination, including against women, thereby mitigating gender wage disparities.

Classical trade theory has evolved to create the "new trade theory." These new models consider aspects of product and labour markets that were previously overlooked, such as the heterogeneity of firms and workers, labour market frictions, informal employment, outsourcing, and offshoring. They show that the impacts of trade liberalization on men and women are more complex and context-specific than previously thought (Aleman-Castilla & Benjamin., 2020). Among these, the technological change theory is the most renowned in this field. Suppose trade liberalization promotes technological changes as a competitive strategy. In that case, manufacturing jobs will become less physically demanding (due to the introduction of technologies that reduce the need for physical labor), leading to a relative increase in women's productivity in production tasks. As a result, the demand for female labour and corresponding wages will rise relative to those of men, thereby reducing the gender wage gap for these tasks (Juhn, Ujhelyi, Villegas, & Sanchez, 2013; Pieters, 2018).

Empirical research on the effects of trade on gender wage and employment gaps in developing countries primarily consists of country-specific studies that utilize macro-level data. The conclusions of these studies are generally mixed. Using data from Mexico, Aguayo-Tellez et al., (2014) observed an increase in the relative demand for female workers in skilled industries and groups following trade liberalization policies. In contrast, research by Ghiara (1999) found no impact on women's wages between 1987 and 1993, despite adopting export-oriented strategies. Additionally, Fleck (2001), based on data from 1997 and 1998, revealed a negative impact on women's wages. Juhn (2014) noted that tariff reductions associated with the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) prompted new Mexican firms to modernize their technologies to capture export markets, replacing male workers with female workers.

In South Korea, Berik et al, (2004) identified an adverse effect of exports - measured by the share of production by sector - on women's wages, increasing the gender wage gap. Similarly, Guicheney (2015) examined the consequences of South Korea's export-oriented industrialization policy on women and concluded that the labour policies enacted by the government to promote the growth of the private sector hurt women's upward mobility opportunities, leaving them in a permanently inferior position compared to men.

In their study, Chen et al. (2013) observed that foreign participation and export orientation in the Chinese economy positively affect female employment and help reduce the gender wage gap. The authors also highlighted gender-based wage discrimination in private firms that do not engage in export activities. They concluded that globalization plays a significant role in promoting female employment and lessening gender discrimination.

Gaddis & Pieters (2012) analysed the consequences of trade liberalization in Brazil between 1987 and 1994 on female labour force participation. Their findings indicate that tariff reductions were associated with increased female participation and employment after about two years. A recent study by Benguria & Ederington (2017) focused on the same country's increased exposure to Chinese imports and examined the impact of trade on wage inequality. They found a negative impact from the rise in imports, but male workers bore this wage decline entirely. Using Oaxaca-Blinder decompositions, the authors explained the wage reduction differences through the employment disparities between men and women. They also noted that trade led to an increase in the share of women in higher-paying occupations and an increase in the returns from primarily female professions.

The studies mentioned above do not utilize a comprehensive framework capable of evaluating the true impact of trade liberalization on the overall economy and the interactions between various agents and markets. Indeed, in the context of a free trade agreement, the issues of trade policies are always very complex. To account for this complexity, it is necessary to use a tool that allows us to understand the economy at the microeconomic and global levels. Thus, the use of a general equilibrium model is essential because it enables the consideration of the economy as a whole, reconciling macroeconomic approaches (trade deficit, budgetary issues, employment levels), the aggregation of indices, and microeconomic approaches (household behaviours, constraints), all within a coherent framework (Gérard, Alpha, & Hüsken, 2011). In the context of our issue, using a general equilibrium model is crucial because it will allow us to simultaneously analyse the impact of trade liberalization on gender inequality in terms of employment, labour division, and wage gaps.

The numerous CGE models developed around 1970 have almost entirely overlooked the gender dimension. A previous special issue of *World Development* (Cagatay, Elson, & Grow, 1995) demonstrated how gender can be integrated into macroeconomic models, yet it did not tackle multi-sectoral microeconomic modelling. This oversight persisted until the early 2000s when a surge of empirical research utilizing CGE models in this field began to emerge. Fofana, Cockburn & Décaluwe (2005) develop a gender-sensitive CGE model for the Nepali economy, which includes both formal and informal production sectors and unpaid domestic activities. They conclude that trade liberalization tends to increase the demand for female labour in specific export sectors, leading to increased paid employment for women. However, despite this growth, women continue to bear a significant portion of the burden of unpaid domestic work. This can limit their ability to fully participate in the formal labour market unless accompanying policies are implemented to ease the burden of unpaid domestic work for women.

Recently, Fontana & Wood (2000), Fontana (2001; 2002), and then Raihan et al., (2010) developed CGE models for Bangladesh and Zambia to analyse the effects of trade policies on women's work in the labour market and at home. They explicitly integrate leisure time and household-produced goods as sectors that behave the same way as market sectors. In general, they found that trade liberalization increases women's work and income and that this impact becomes more significant when accompanied by policies to alleviate women's unpaid domestic labour.

The study by Siddiqui & Rizwana (2009) uses a CGE model to analyse the impacts of trade liberalization on women's well-being in Pakistan, focusing on changes in the labour market. It concludes that although trade liberalization provides increased economic opportunities for women in Pakistan by raising their economic participation, specific policies are necessary to maximize these benefits and reduce inequalities. This involves improving women's access to education, vocational training, and decent jobs.

Other works conducted in this context highlight that trade liberalization can improve economic opportunities for women. However, they also emphasize that complementary policies are necessary to maximize these benefits and reduce gender inequalities. (Terra, Bucheli, & Estrades, 2011; Bussolo et al. 2009; Arndt & Channing, 2000)

This study offers two significant contributions compared to previous research. First, it will be the first to use a gender-sensitive CGE model to assess the impact of trade liberalization on the Moroccan economy, specifically focusing on its effects on women's employment. Second, it would be the first to highlight a sensitivity analysis to test the impact of specific complementary policies on improving the situation of sectors least affected by trade policy.

## 2. Research Methodology

The baseline model is derived from version 2.1 of the PEP-1-1 CGE model (Decaluwe et al., 2009). While we maintain its structure for analysing trade-related issues, we implement alternative specifications about the labour market to address gender-related concerns. Specifically, we introduce three modifications to the model: first, we disaggregate the demand for male and female labour (equation 1); second, we treat the supply of male and female labour as endogenous (equation 2); and third, we incorporate a reciprocal opening analysis into the model (equation 3).

### 2.1. The Disaggregation of Male and Female Labour Demand

We begin by disaggregating the labour market into male and female components, which we consider imperfect substitutes in production. The substitution elasticities are specific to each activity. The value added of the activity is modelled by a constant elasticity substitution (CES) function at two levels. At the first level, male labour ( $LD_{mal,j}$ ) and female labor ( $LD_{fem,j}$ ) are aggregated into a composite labor ( $LDC_j$ ).

$$LDC_j = B_j^{LD} \left[ \beta_{mal,j}^{LD} LD_{mal,j}^{-\rho_j^{LD}} + \beta_{fem,j}^{LD} LD_{fem,j}^{-\rho_j^{LD}} \right]^{-1/\rho_j^{LD}} \quad (1)$$

The firm chooses its labour composition in such a way as to minimize its labour costs, considering relative wage rates. The demand for each type of labour ( $LD_{mal,j}$ ;  $LD_{fem,j}$ ) arises from the first-order conditions of cost minimization by the representative firm, subject to the CES technology (equation 1):

$$LD_{l,j} = \left[ \frac{\beta_{j,l}^{LD} WC_j}{WTI_{l,j}} \right]^{\sigma_j^{LD}} [B_j^{LD}]^{(\sigma_j^{LD}-1)} LDC_j \quad (2)$$

As in the basic model, the activity's composite wage rate (minimized cost) differs depending on their respective mixes of male and female labour.

$$WC_j = \frac{WTI_{mal,j} LD_{mal,j} + WTI_{fem,j} LD_{fem,j}}{LDC_j} \quad (3)$$


Each market is in equilibrium when the total labour supply (LS) equals the sum of the sectoral labour demands:

$$LS_{fem} = \sum_j LD_{fem,j} \quad (4)$$

$$LS_{mal} = \sum_j LD_{mal,j} \quad (5)$$

As in the basic model, labour and capital aggregates constitute the value added to the activity, and production is a fixed combination of value-added and intermediate consumption (Figure 4). The labour market is segmented into male and female components to highlight the wage inequalities observed in the Moroccan economy. Each market satisfies the neoclassical assumption of perfect competition.

Figure 4: nested production structure



Source: Authors

### Endogenization of male and female labour supply

To achieve our objective, we endogenize male and female labour supply by assuming it depends on real wages:

$$LS_{\text{mal}} = mL_{\text{mal}} \left[ \frac{W_{\text{mal}}}{PIXGDP} \right]^{\varepsilon_{\text{mal}}} \quad (6)$$

$$LS_{\text{fem}} = mL_{\text{fem}} \left[ \frac{W_{\text{fem}}}{PIXGDP} \right]^{\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}} \quad (7)$$

where:  $LS_{\text{mal}}$ : male labor supply,  $LS_{\text{fem}}$ : female labour supply,  $mL_i$ : scale parameter;  $\varepsilon_{\text{mal}}$ : elasticity of male labour supply with respect to real wages;  $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}$ : elasticity of female labour supply with respect to real wages.

### Integration of reciprocal analysis

To take advantage of a reciprocal analysis during trade liberalization, we present the following two equations:

$$EXD_i = [EXD_i]^0 \left[ \frac{e * PWX_i (1 + [tmMM_i]^0)}{(PE_i^{FOB}) (1 + tmMM_i)} \right]^{\sigma_i^{XD\_RM}} \quad (8)$$

$$TMM_i = (tmMM_i) * (PE_i^{FOB}) * EXD_i \quad (9)$$

where:  $EXD_i$ : world demand for exports of product  $i$ ;  $[EXD_i]^0$ : export volume of product  $i$  demanded by the rest of the world, which is not affected by its relative export price, but by other factors (changes in tastes, increase in global population, etc.) ( $> 0$ );  $\sigma_i^{XD}$ : Price elasticity of world demand for exports of product  $i$ ;  $PWX_i$ : world price of product  $i$  exported to the rest of the world;  $tmMM_i$ : tariff rate applied by the rest of the world on imports from Morocco.

### 3. Benchmark Data Set: Social Accounting Matrix (2019)

Figure 5: Structure of the different accounts of the SAM

|                                        | Production factor                              | Agents                                             | Production sectors                   | Products intended for the local market                                               | Export to the rest of the world                                              | accumulation |             | total                                          |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Production factor                      |                                                |                                                    | Factor remuneration by sector        |                                                                                      |                                                                              |              |             | Rémunération de facteur                        |
| Agents                                 | Remuneration of agents for their factor offers | Flow between agents                                | Taxes on production                  |                                                                                      |                                                                              |              |             | Total Agent Income                             |
|                                        |                                                |                                                    |                                      | Import taxes                                                                         | export taxes                                                                 |              |             |                                                |
|                                        |                                                |                                                    | Taxes on factor remuneration         | Product tax                                                                          |                                                                              |              |             |                                                |
|                                        |                                                |                                                    |                                      | Import (CIF price)                                                                   |                                                                              |              |             |                                                |
| Production sectors                     |                                                |                                                    |                                      | Les biens & services produits by the branches of activity evaluated at factor costs. | Exports to the rest of the world (excluding Africa) Assessed at factor costs |              |             | Production at factor cost                      |
| Products intended for the local market |                                                | Final consumption of agents (households and State) | Intermediate consumption             | margins                                                                              | Margins on export                                                            | INV          | V. of stock | Demand                                         |
| Export to the rest of the world        |                                                | Export to the rest of the world (at FOB price)     |                                      |                                                                                      |                                                                              |              |             | Export to the rest of the world (at FOB price) |
| accumulation                           |                                                | Agents' savings                                    |                                      |                                                                                      |                                                                              |              |             | savings                                        |
| total                                  | Factor remuneration                            | Total agent expenses                               | Production at production factor cost | Demand for purchase price                                                            | Export to the rest of the world (at FOB price)                               | savings      |             |                                                |

Source: Authors.

The first step in creating a model for a specific country is to construct a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) that captures its economic and social structure. Our SAM, shown in Figure 5, provides a simplified overview of Morocco's Social Accounting Matrix for 2019. It focuses on three factors of production: female labour, male labour, and capital, and includes 22 sectors (see Appendix 2). Unlike many SAMs, this one does not differentiate between types of households (such as rural or urban, rich or poor) and includes only minimal information regarding the government, balance of payments, investment, and stock variation. Additionally, our SAM is based on the matrix Raouf et al. (2021) utilized to evaluate the impact of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) on the Moroccan economy, which primarily involves straightforward modifications to the labour market.

### Additional Variables

The volumes of male labour ( $LD_{mal,j}$ ) and female labor ( $LD_{fem,j}$ ) by sector; They are obtained by disaggregating the total labour demand in each sector. The disaggregation structure is derived from the Employment Satellite Account data, 2014<sup>1</sup>.

Table 1: Disaggregation of total labour demand

|     | Effective |           |           | %   |     |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|
|     | Mal       | Fem       | Total     | Mal | Fem |
| A00 | 2,387,842 | 1,769,920 | 4,157,762 | 57% | 43% |
| A05 | 56,646    | 2,149     | 58,795    | 96% | 4%  |
| B00 | 34,957    | 1,693     | 36,650    | 95% | 5%  |
| CA0 | 182,289   | 88,963    | 271,252   | 67% | 33% |
| CB0 | 181,949   | 180,399   | 362,348   | 50% | 50% |
| CC0 | 52,567    | 5,979     | 58,546    | 90% | 10% |
| CED | 19,914    | 6,496     | 26,410    | 75% | 25% |
| CF0 | 5,750     | 4,210     | 9,960     | 58% | 42% |
| CGM | 300,896   | 68,451    | 369,347   | 81% | 19% |
| DE0 | 58,487    | 6,603     | 65,090    | 90% | 10% |
| F00 | 773,536   | 42,622    | 816,158   | 95% | 5%  |
| G00 | 1,539,168 | 197,559   | 1,736,727 | 89% | 11% |
| H00 | 283,119   | 20,495    | 303,614   | 93% | 7%  |
| I00 | 235,768   | 70,457    | 306,225   | 77% | 23% |
| J00 | 41,731    | 19,520    | 61,251    | 68% | 32% |
| K00 | 37,782    | 27,653    | 65,435    | 58% | 42% |
| L68 | 19,942    | 3,760     | 23,702    | 84% | 16% |
| MN0 | 262,636   | 111,079   | 373,715   | 70% | 30% |
| O84 | 407,573   | 184,043   | 591,616   | 69% | 31% |
| PQ8 | 273,586   | 260,310   | 533,896   | 51% | 49% |
| RS0 | 309,057   | 83,882    | 392,939   | 79% | 21% |
| A00 | 2,387,842 | 1,769,920 | 4,157,762 | 57% | 43% |

Source: Authors after the Employment Satellite Account data, HCP, 2014

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.hcp.ma/Compte-satellite-de-l-emploi-2014\\_a3714.html](https://www.hcp.ma/Compte-satellite-de-l-emploi-2014_a3714.html)

The female workforce is predominantly concentrated in sectors such as agriculture (A00: 49%), textiles (CB0: 50%), pharmaceuticals (CF0: 42%), financial and insurance activities (K00: 42%), as well as education and human health (PQ8: 49%). Conversely, women are underrepresented in industrial sectors that demand more physical labor, accounting for only 4% to 20% of employment, and their presence in services is moderate, ranging from 20% to 30%.

- The wage of men and women ( $W_{\text{mal}}$  and  $W_{\text{fem}}$ )

Like the other prices in the model, the female wage is set as a reference and normalized to 1 for the base year. According to ENSEM 2019 from the HCP, the male wage represents 1.3 times the female wage.

- The volume of labour supplied in each market ( $LS_{\text{mal}}$  and  $LS_{\text{fem}}$ )

The labour supply of men (resp, women) is obtained by summing the male (resp, female) labour demand in each sector:

$$\begin{cases} LS_{\text{mal}}^0 = \sum_j LD_{\text{mal},j}^0 \\ LS_{\text{fem}}^0 = \sum_j LS_{\text{fem},j}^0 \end{cases}$$

#### Other Parameters

- The elasticity of male and female labour supply with respect to real wages ( $\varepsilon_l$ )

In this analysis, the labour supply elasticity of males and females regarding real wages is treated as free parameters. We assume a high elasticity for male labour supply and a low elasticity for female labour supply<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\text{fem}} = 0.8 \\ \varepsilon_{\text{mal}} = 1000 \end{cases}$$

- The elasticity of substitution between male and female labour by sector ( $\sigma_j^{\text{LD}}$ )

To address labour market rigidity for both men and women across different sectors, we have selected substitution elasticity values between worker categories that are comparatively low relative to the typical standards found in computable general equilibrium models. All production sectors have established these elasticities at 0.5 (Fontana & Wood, 1999).

- The parameter related to sectoral substitution elasticity ( $\rho_j^{\text{LD}}$ )

The parameter related to sectoral substitution elasticity is obtained using the following formula:

$$\rho_j^{\text{LD}} = \frac{1 - \sigma_j^{\text{LD}}}{\sigma_j^{\text{LD}}}$$

where:  $\sigma_j^{\text{LD}}$  is the elasticity of substitution of the labour factor in sector  $j$  and  $\rho_j^{\text{LD}}$  is the parameter related to the substitution elasticity.

- The relative share of female labour in each sector ( $\beta_{j,l}^{\text{LD}}$ )

The relative share of labour by each gender in each sector is calibrated from the equation (2):

<sup>2</sup> In the section dedicated to sensitivity analysis, different elasticity values are used to assess the sensitivity of the model to this parameter.

$$\beta_{lj}^{LD} = \frac{RTI_{lj}^0 * (LD_{lj}^0)^{(\rho_j^{LD}+1)}}{\sum_{lj} RTI_{lj,j}^0 * (LD_{lj,j}^0)^{(\rho_j^{LD}+1)}}$$

- The scale parameter of the composite labour demand function ( $B_j^{LD}$ ):

The scale parameter of the composite labour demand function ( $B_j^{LD}$ ) is calibrated from the equation (1).

$$B_j^{LD} = \frac{LDC_j}{\left[ \beta_{mal,j}^{LD} [LD^0]_{mal,j}^{-\rho_j^{LD}} + \beta_{fem,j}^{LD} [LD^0]_{fem,j}^{-\rho_j^{LD}} \right]^{-1/\rho_j^{LD}}}$$

- The scale parameter of the labour supply function ( $mL_l$ )

The scale parameter of the labour supply function is calibrated from the equation (6-7):

$$mL_{mal} = \frac{LS_{mal}^0}{\left[ \frac{w_{mal}}{PIXGDP} \right]^{\epsilon_{mal}}} \quad \text{and} \quad mL_{fem} = \frac{LS_{fem}^0}{\left[ \frac{w_{fem}}{PIXGDP} \right]^{\epsilon_{fem}}}$$

## 4. Simulation and Results

### 4.1. Analysis of the Complete Liberalization of International Trade

Production decreased in several sectors, notably in agriculture and forestry (-0.68%), public administration and social security (-0.82%), as well as in education and human health (-0.67%), which are considered the least competitive activities compared to the reference year. For sectors intensive in female labour, only the food sector, information and communication, and research and development (as well as the agricultural sector and the education and health sector) saw a remarkable decline (-0.30%, -0.21%, and -0.07%, respectively). For the agricultural, food, and research development sectors, this decline is mainly due to the drop in domestic demand in these sectors (-0.91%, -0.79%, and -0.13%, respectively), while for the information and communication sector and the education and health sector, the drop in both domestic and external demand occurred (domestic demand: 0.16% and -0.13%; external demand -0.20% and -0.71%, respectively).

Table 2: Simulation results of some variables (% base year).

|     | Export variation in % | Import variation in % | Male labour demand variation | Female labour demand variation | Capital factor variation | Capital intensity | Total production variation | Demand variation | Import variation | Export variation |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| A00 | 0,47                  | 5,29                  | -0,61                        | -0,58                          | -0,69                    | 1094,47           | -0,68                      | -0,91            | 5,29             | 0,47             |
| A05 | -0,21                 | 1,03                  | -0,39                        | -0,36                          | -0,50                    | 69,73             | -0,42                      | -0,06            | 1,03             | -0,21            |
| B00 | 2,69                  | 2,02                  | 0,95                         | 0,98                           | 0,84                     | 197,86            | 0,89                       | -0,04            | 2,02             | 2,69             |
| CA0 | 0,96                  | 9,73                  | -0,23                        | -0,20                          | -0,32                    | 425,68            | -0,30                      | -0,79            | 9,73             | 0,96             |
| CB0 | 3,18                  | 7,09                  | 0,66                         | 0,69                           | 0,58                     | 235,02            | 0,61                       | -2,10            | 7,09             | 3,18             |
| CC0 | 13,57                 | 1,20                  | 1,91                         | 1,94                           | 1,81                     | 319,84            | 1,84                       | 0,22             | 1,20             | 13,57            |
| CED | 2,06                  | 0,59                  | 2,06                         | 2,09                           | 1,96                     | 464,11            | 1,99                       | 0,26             | 0,59             | 2,06             |
| CF0 | 7,03                  | 1,52                  | 1,97                         | 2,00                           | 1,88                     | 231,73            | 1,91                       | 0,01             | 1,52             | 7,03             |
| CGM | 0,52                  | 1,37                  | -0,08                        | -0,05                          | -0,17                    | 248,06            | -0,14                      | -0,91            | 1,37             | 0,52             |
| DE0 | 0,29                  | 2,08                  | 0,25                         | 0,28                           | 0,15                     | 296,88            | 0,18                       | 0,14             | 2,08             | 0,29             |
| F00 | 2,32                  | -0,08                 | 0,39                         | 0,42                           | 0,28                     | 99,39             | 0,35                       | 0,24             | -0,08            | 2,32             |
| G00 | 0,46                  | 23,22                 | 0,24                         | 0,27                           | 0,13                     | 280,85            | 0,17                       | 0,48             | 23,22            | 0,46             |

|     |       |      |       |       |       |         |       |       |      |       |
|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| H00 | 2,06  | 0,99 | 1,20  | 1,23  | 1,09  | 175,33  | 1,14  | 0,37  | 0,99 | 2,06  |
| I00 | 0,62  | 1,52 | 0,42  | 0,45  | 0,32  | 331,62  | 0,35  | 0,30  | 1,52 | 0,62  |
| J00 | -0,20 | 1,65 | -0,15 | -0,12 | -0,24 | 222,71  | -0,21 | -0,16 | 1,65 | -0,20 |
| K00 | 0,33  | 1,96 | 0,13  | 0,16  | 0,04  | 201,73  | 0,08  | 0,05  | 1,96 | 0,33  |
| L68 | -0,43 | 1,99 | 0,08  | 0,11  | -0,02 | 5472,34 | -0,02 | 0,02  | 1,99 | -0,43 |
| MN0 | 0,51  | 1,18 | -0,04 | -0,01 | -0,13 | 88,17   | -0,07 | -0,13 | 1,18 | 0,51  |
| O84 | -0,71 | 0,89 | -0,82 | -0,79 | -0,91 | 26,31   | -0,82 | -0,80 | 0,89 | -0,71 |
| PQ8 | -0,71 | 1,15 | -0,68 | -0,65 | -0,76 | 15,57   | -0,67 | -0,65 | 1,15 | -0,71 |
| RS0 | -0,32 | 1,75 | 0,08  | 0,11  | -0,01 | 141,15  | 0,04  | 0,13  | 1,75 | -0,32 |


Source: Author's calculation

Conversely, increased production has been noted in more competitive sectors, such as extraction, textile manufacturing, wood and paper products, the chemical industry, pharmaceutical production, transportation and storage, and financial activities. Among these sectors, CB0, CF0, and K00, characterized by a high intensity of female labour, experienced growth rates of 0.61%, 1.91%, and 0.08%, respectively. This growth can be attributed primarily to a rise in exports (+3.18%) for the first sector and increased internal and external demand for the other two sectors (internal demand: Res.p 0.013% and 0.051%; exports: Res.p 7.03% and 0.33%).

The significant declines in activity within the agricultural, food, information and communication, research and development, education, and health sectors - areas that are predominantly female labour-intensive - result in a substantial release of female labour. The percentages of female labour changes in these sectors are as follows: A00: -0.58%, CA0: -0.20%, J00: -0.12%, MN0: +0.01%, and PQ8: -0.65%. The textile sector absorbs this released labour (CB0: +0.69%), pharmaceuticals (CF0: +2%), and the financial sector (K00: +0.16%). It is important to note that a similar movement of capital factors accompanies the high mobility of female labour between sectors. This supports the theory of technological change, which posits that the significant physical strength disparity between women and men in the workforce can be compensated by integrating technology into capital.

Generally, at the employment supply level, the situation is unfavourable for both genders, with a more significant decline in male employment supply (-0.34%) compared to female employment supply (-0.047%), despite the significant decrease in real female wages (-0.059%) compared to male wages (-0.003%). This is mainly due to the high elasticity of male employment supply concerning real wages. This simulation revealed that national-scale liberalization benefits women in competitive, labour-intensive sectors like textiles, finance, and pharmaceuticals. However, it poses challenges for women in less competitive sectors such as agriculture, communication, education,

Figure 6: Allocation of the nested structure of production by sector (% base year)




Source: Author's calculation

and health. This supports the theory of comparative advantage, indicating that government policies should focus on enhancing competitiveness in these sectors to boost female employment in Morocco's economy.

The government employs various instruments to promote female employment, with gender equality initiatives being the most widely adopted worldwide. This strategy has significantly increased the female labour supply in developed and developing countries. The following section will examine these initiatives and their impact on Morocco's female employment and economy.

Figure 7: Simulation results of some variables (% base year)



Source: Author's calculation

#### 4.2. Sensitivity Analysis

This subsection aims to analyse the effect of gender equality on the simulation results. Three analyses have been conducted as follows:

- Legal Equality: Equal treatment between genders, an increase in the elasticity of substitution between male and female labour across different production sectors.

Increasing the elasticity of substitution between male and female labour in the production sectors (from 0.5 to 10) leads to a rise in the demand for female<sup>3</sup> labour from businesses. Consequently, this increase stimulates a rise in real wages for female workers, resulting in a convergence of wages for both men and women. However, the overall domestic and export production becomes less attractive compared to the initial simulation. This decline can be attributed to the lower productivity of female labour (see Appendix 3-1).

In summary, higher sectoral elasticities of substitution between male and female labour are likely to worsen Morocco's macroeconomic performance, reducing total production, domestic demand, and exports compared to baseline conditions.

- Social Equality: Removing constraints (domestic work and childcare responsibilities) for women, increasing the elasticity of female labour supply with respect to real wages from 0.8 to 1000.

Increasing the elasticity of female labour supply relative to real wages (from 0.8 to 1000), we observe a rise in female<sup>4</sup> labour supply accompanied by an increase in their real wages, in contrast to male real wages, which decrease (male and female wages tend to equalize). This impact directly propagates from the labour market to

<sup>3</sup> Now more easily substitutable for their male counterparts

<sup>4</sup> The main concern of women (household work and taking care of children) has been abandoned.

production and its components (exports and domestic demand), which are less favourable than the initial simulation. Household income, GDP, and other macroeconomic variables are not spared from this unfavourable scenario (see Appendix 3-2). In summary, women's departure from the household and integration into the labour market contributes to men's marginalization due to women's lower wages. This has severe consequences for the national economy, stemming from the lower productivity of this shift.

- Financial Equality: The reduction of gender wage gaps and its impact on the initial simulation.

Increasing women's wages to equalize them with men's (from  $w_{fem} = 1$  to  $w_{fem} = 1.3$ ), we observe a decrease in the demand for female labor compared to male labor. This results in a predominance of men in most production sectors, positively affecting production and its components (exports and domestic demand). This change also leads to improvements in household income, GDP, and other macroeconomic variables (see Appendix 4)<sup>5</sup>. In summary, efforts to achieve gender wage parity, whether by adjusting men's or women's wages, may lead to a perceived advantage for men due to assumptions about productivity. However, this could boost economic growth through increased efficiency and fairness.

### Conclusion and Recommendations

The matter is ongoing, and numerous empirical and economic studies have been introduced to develop concrete solutions. One such solution is the liberalization of sectors that promote women's participation, which has proven effective in various developed and developing countries. In this light, this article applies these studies to the Moroccan context, employing a gender-sensitive computable general equilibrium model.

The results of this study highlight that complete liberalization at the national level favours women in competitive sectors characterized by a high concentration of female labour, such as the textile, financial, and pharmaceutical sectors. However, it disadvantages women in sectors such as agriculture, food, information, and communication, as well as in research and development, education, and health, where competitiveness is lower and female labour is less intensive. This leads us to consider other policies aimed at achieving gender equality in legal, social, and financial terms as additional solutions to address sectors that do not benefit from trade policies. As expected, the outcome is favourable, with a significant increase in female labour supply in almost all sectors and a remarkable reduction in the gender wage gap. Unfortunately, while these results positively impact the overall economy (due to their direct effects on production costs), they have unfavourable consequences on almost all macroeconomic indicators due to the lower productivity of women compared to their male counterparts. These results lead us to several proposals to increase women's productivity to maximize their benefits:

- Improve girls' access to and the quality of education.
- Promote gender diversity in the workplace.
- Provide training and professional development opportunities specifically tailored to women's needs.
- Encourage women's participation in leadership and decision-making roles.
- Invest in technology and infrastructure that facilitate women's work.
- Establish mentoring and sponsorship programs to support women's career advancement.
- Encourage flexible working hours to meet women's individual needs while ensuring operational continuity.

### Credit Authorship Contribution Statement

All authors contributed to the design and conception of the study (conceptualization, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing-original draft preparation, writing-review and editing). Errors are the authors' responsibility.

### Conflict of Interest Statement

---

<sup>5</sup> Although the non-significant impact remains favorable.

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal conflicts of interest that could influence the results or interpretations presented in this article. No external funding sources were received for the conduct of this research.

## References


- Aleman-Castilla, B. (2020). Trade and labour market outcomes: Theory and evidence at the firm and worker levels. ILO Working Paper, no 12. <https://www.ilo.org/publications/trade-and-labour-market-outcomes-theory-and-evidence-firm-and-worker-levels-1>
- Aguayo-Tellez, E., Airola, J., Juhn, C. and Villegas-Sanchez, C. (2014). Did Trade Liberalization Help Women? the Case of Mexico in the 1990s, New Analyses of Worker Well-Being (Research in Labor Economics, Vol. 38), Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Leeds, pp. 1-35. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S0147-9121\(2013\)0000038001](https://doi.org/10.1108/S0147-9121(2013)0000038001)
- Arndt, & Channing. (2000). Gender Dimensions of Mozambique's Post-War Economic Growth: A CGE Analysis. The World Bank. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/582101468775150061/pdf/multi0page.pdf>
- Baliamoune-Lutz M., (2020). Commerce et emploi salarial des femmes, Research Paper, Policy Center for the new south, RP-20/21 [https://www.policycenter.ma/sites/default/files/2021-01/RP\\_20-01\\_Baliamoune%20FR%20%281%29.pdf](https://www.policycenter.ma/sites/default/files/2021-01/RP_20-01_Baliamoune%20FR%20%281%29.pdf)
- Ben Yahmed, S. (2014). International Commerce and Inequalities Between Men and Women. Regards croisés sur l'économie 15.2 (2014): 322-326. <https://doi.org/10.3917/rce.015.0322>
- Benguria, F., & Ederington, J. (2023). Decomposing the effect of trade on the gender wage gap. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique*, 56(3), 1082-1120. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caje.12668>
- Berik, G., Rodgers, Y. v., & Jr., J. E. (2004). International Trade and Gender Wage Discrimination: Evidence from East Asia. *Review of Development Economics*, 8(2), 237-254. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9361.2004.00230.x>
- Bhargava, A., Jamison, D. T., Lau, L. J., & Murray, C. J. (2001). Modeling the effects of health on economic growth. *Journal of Health Economics*, 20(3), 423–440. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-6296\(01\)00073-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-6296(01)00073-x)
- Black, S. E., & Brainerd, E. (2004). Importing equality? The impact of globalization on gender discrimination. *ILR Review*, 57(4), 540-559. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019793904057004>
- Bloom, D. E., Canning, D., & Sevilla, J. (2004). The Effect of Health on Economic Growth: A Production Function Approach. *World Development*, 32(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2003.07.002>
- Bussolo, M., De Hoyos, R. E., & Wodon, Q. (2009). Higher prices of export crops, intra-household inequality and human capital accumulation in Senegal. *Gender Aspects of the Trade and Poverty Nexus: A Macro–Micro Approach*, 165-184. <https://www.rafadehoyos.com/recursos/Bussolo%20and%20de%20Hoyos.%20gender%20aspects%20of%20the%20trade%20and%20poverty%20nexus.pdf>
- Ujhelyi, C. J., & Villegas-Sanchez, G. (2014). Men, Women, and Machines: How Trade Impacts Gender Inequality. *Journal Development Economics*, 106 (C), 179–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2013.09.009>
- Çağatay, N., Elson, D., & Grow, C. (1995). Introduction. *World Development*, 23(11), 1827-1836. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(95\)90003-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(95)90003-J)
- Chen, Z., Ge, Y., Lai, H., & Wan, C. (2013). Globalization and Gender Wage Inequality in China. *World Development*, 44, 256-266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2012.11.007>
- Decaluwé, B., Lemelin, A., Maisonnave, H., & Rochibud, V. (2012). The PEP standard computable general equilibrium model single-country, static version. PEP-1-1, Partnership for Economic Policy (PEP), MPIA Research Network. <https://www.pep-net.org/methods/macro-modeling/cge-models>
- El Baouchari, N., & Raouf, R. (2024). Impact of Health on Labour Productivity in Morocco: Insights from dynamic ARDL and KRLS techniques. *Journal of Global Sustainability and Development*, Volume I, Issue 1, 7 – 25. <https://doi.org/10.57017/jgsd.v1.i1.01>

- Estrades, Carmen, & Terra, María Inés. (2011). FIGHTING INFORMALITY IN SEGMENTED LABOR MARKETS: A general equilibrium analysis applied to Uruguay. *Latin american journal of economics*, 48(1), 1-37. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0719-04332011000100001>
- Fleck, S. (2001). A gender perspective on maquila employment and wages in Mexico. In *The Economics of Gender in Mexico. Work, Family, State, and the Market*, ed. E. Katz and M. Correia, 133–73. Washington D.C.: WorldBank. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/pt/288701468121498166/pdf/multi0page.pdf>
- Fofana, I., Cockburn, J., & Decaluwe, B. (2005). Developing country superwomen: impacts of trade liberalisation on female market and domestic work. <https://www.pep-net.org/sites/pep-net.org/files/typo3doc/pdf/Gender4.pdf>
- Fontana. (2001). Modeling the effects of trade on women: A closer look at Bangladesh. Institute of Development Studies (Brighton), Working, 139 [https://www.pep-net.org/sites/pep-net.org/files/typo3doc/pdf/recommended\\_readings/WD\\_vol28\\_no7.pdf](https://www.pep-net.org/sites/pep-net.org/files/typo3doc/pdf/recommended_readings/WD_vol28_no7.pdf)
- Fontana, M. (2004). Modelling the effects of trade on women, at work and at home: comparative perspectives. *Economie Internationale*, 99(3), 49-80. <https://shs.cairn.info/revue-economie-internationale-2004-3-page-49?lang=fr>
- Fontana, M. (2004). Modelling the effects of trade on women, at work and at home: comparative perspectives. *Économie Internationale*, 99(3), 49–80. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ecoi.099.0049>
- Fontana, M., & Wood, A. (2000). Modelling the Effects of Trade on Women, at Work and at Home. *World Development*, 28(7), 1173-1190. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(00\)00033-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(00)00033-4)
- Gaddis, Isis & Pieters, Janneke, 2012. Trade Liberalization and Female Labor Force Participation: Evidence from Brazil, IZA Discussion Papers 6809, Institute of Labor Economics (IZA). <https://docs.iza.org/dp6809.pdf>
- Ghiara, R. (1999). Impact of Trade Liberalisation on Female Wages in Mexico: An Econometric Analysis. *Development Policy Review*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7679.00082>
- Guicheney, W. (2015). South Korea's Export-Oriented Industrialization Policy and the Pervasiveness of the Gender-Wage Gap. *Undercurrent*, 11(2), 14-25. [https://books.google.co.ma/books?id=11qzCwAAQBAJ&source=gbs\\_navlinks\\_s](https://books.google.co.ma/books?id=11qzCwAAQBAJ&source=gbs_navlinks_s)
- Juhn, C., Ujhelyi, G., & Villegas-Sanchez, C. (2014). Men, women, and machines: How trade impacts gender inequality. *Journal of Development Economics*, 106, 179-193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2013.09.009>
- Fontana, M. (2002). Modelling the effects of trade on women: the case of Zambia, IDS Working Paper 155, Brighton: Institute of Development Studies. <https://www.ids.ac.uk/download.php?file=files/Wp155.pdf>
- Pieters, J. (2018). Trade Liberalization and Gender Inequality: Can Free-Trade Policies Help to Reduce Gender Inequalities in Employment and Wages? IZA World of Labor, article 114. <https://doi.org/10.15185/izawol.114.v2>
- High Commission for Planning (2024). Intersectional analysis of women's participation in the Moroccan labor market. summary note. <https://www.hcp.ma/file/240227/>
- High Commission for Planning (2013). Moroccan women and the labor market: characteristics and evolution. <https://www.hcp.ma/attachment/2233855/>
- High Commission for Planning (2014). Employment satellite account, 2014. <https://www.hcp.ma/file/237698/>
- High Commission for Planning (2024). Social relations between women and men: Insights from time use surveys. <https://www.hcp.ma/region-eddakhla/attachment/2278532/>
- Levine, R., & Renelt, D. (1992). A Sensitivity Analysis of Cross-Country Growth Regressions. *The American Economic Review*, 82(4), 942–963. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2117352>
- Raihan, Selim and Khatoon, Rabeya and Husain, M. Jami and Rahman, Suriya, (2010). Modelling Gender Impacts of Policy Reforms in Bangladesh: A Study in a Sequential Dynamic CGE Framework. PEP Working Paper serie 2010-19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssm.1698084>

- Raihan S (2010). Informal sector in Bangladesh: implications for growth and poverty. *Indian J Labour Econ* 53(2):251–265.  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/293209359\\_Informal\\_sector\\_in\\_Bangladesh\\_Implications\\_for\\_growth\\_and\\_poverty](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/293209359_Informal_sector_in_Bangladesh_Implications_for_growth_and_poverty)
- Raouf, Radouane, Hajar Dahane, & Nabil El Baouchari. (2021). Impact of AfCFTA implementation on the Moroccan economy: A CGE modelling assessment. GTAP Annual Conference on Global Economic Analysis.  
<https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/333262/files/10761.pdf>
- Stolper, W. F., & Samuelson, P. A. (1941). Protection and real wages. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 9(1), 58-73.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2967638>
- Terra, Maria Inés and Bucheli, Marisa and Estrades, Carmen, Trade Openness and Gender in Uruguay: A CGE Analysis (November 1, 2008). PEP working paper serie 2008-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3171499>

APPENDIX:

Appendix 1: The general nested structure of the gender-sensitive PEP-1-1 model



Source: authors, by GAMS



Appendix 2: Sectors used in SAM-2019

| Code | Sector Description                                    |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| A00  | Agriculture and Forestry                              |
| A05  | Fishing and Aquaculture                               |
| B00  | Extraction                                            |
| CA0  | Manufacture of Food Products and Beverages            |
| CB0  | Manufacture of Textiles, Apparel Articles             |
| CC0  | Manufacture of Wood and Paper Products                |
| CED  | Chemical Industry                                     |
| CF0  | Manufacture of Basic Pharmaceutical Products          |
| CGM  | Mechanical and Electrical Industry                    |
| DE0  | Electricity and Gas Distribution - Water Distribution |
| F00  | Construction                                          |
| G00  | Wholesale and Retail Trade; Repair of Motor Vehicles  |
| H00  | Transportation and Storage                            |
| I00  | Accommodation and Food Services                       |
| J00  | Information and Communication                         |
| K00  | Financial and Insurance Activities                    |
| L68  | Real Estate Activities                                |
| MN0  | Research and Development and Business Services        |
| O84  | Public Administration; Mandatory Social Security      |
| PQ8  | Education, Human Health, and Social Work Activities   |
| RS0  | Other Services                                        |

Source : National Accounts Nomenclatures (NMA 2014), HCP

## Appendix 3-1: Results of the first simulation: Legal Equality

Figure 8: Impact of the variation in the elasticity of substitution on real wage



Source: authors, by GAMS

Table 1: Deterioration of the Moroccan economic situation (comparison between initial situation and case where  $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ )


|     | Variation in Demand   |                      | Variation in Total Production |                      | Variation in Exports  |                      |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|     | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 0,5$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 0,5$         | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 0,5$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ |
| A00 | -0,91                 | -0,92                | -0,68                         | -0,69                | 0,47                  | 0,47                 |
| A05 | -0,06                 | -0,07                | -0,42                         | -0,44                | -0,21                 | -0,23                |
| B00 | -0,04                 | -0,06                | 0,89                          | 0,86                 | 2,69                  | 2,67                 |
| CA0 | -0,79                 | -0,80                | -0,30                         | -0,31                | 0,96                  | 0,94                 |
| CB0 | -2,10                 | -2,11                | 0,61                          | 0,60                 | 3,18                  | 3,17                 |
| CC0 | 0,22                  | 0,20                 | 1,84                          | 1,82                 | 13,57                 | 13,55                |
| CED | 0,26                  | 0,24                 | 1,99                          | 1,97                 | 2,06                  | 2,04                 |
| CF0 | 0,01                  | -0,01                | 1,91                          | 1,90                 | 7,03                  | 7,01                 |
| CGM | -0,91                 | -0,93                | -0,14                         | -0,15                | 0,52                  | 0,50                 |
| DE0 | 0,14                  | 0,13                 | 0,18                          | 0,17                 | 0,29                  | 0,27                 |
| F00 | 0,24                  | 0,23                 | 0,35                          | 0,34                 | 2,32                  | 2,30                 |
| G00 | 0,48                  | 0,46                 | 0,17                          | 0,16                 | 0,46                  | 0,45                 |
| H00 | 0,37                  | 0,34                 | 1,14                          | 1,12                 | 2,06                  | 2,04                 |
| I00 | 0,30                  | 0,29                 | 0,35                          | 0,34                 | 0,62                  | 0,61                 |

|     | Variation in Demand   |                      | Variation in Total Production |                      | Variation in Exports  |                      |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|     | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 0,5$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 0,5$         | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 0,5$ | $\sigma_j^{LD} = 10$ |
| J00 | -0,16                 | -0,18                | -0,21                         | -0,23                | -0,20                 | -0,22                |
| K00 | 0,05                  | 0,04                 | 0,08                          | 0,06                 | 0,33                  | 0,31                 |
| L68 | 0,02                  | 0,01                 | -0,02                         | -0,03                | -0,43                 | -0,44                |
| MN0 | -0,13                 | -0,16                | -0,07                         | -0,10                | 0,51                  | 0,48                 |
| O84 | -0,80                 | -0,82                | -0,82                         | -0,84                | -0,71                 | -0,74                |
| PQ8 | -0,65                 | -0,67                | -0,67                         | -0,70                | -0,71                 | -0,75                |
| RS0 | 0,13                  | 0,12                 | 0,04                          | 0,02                 | -0,32                 | -0,34                |

Source: authors, by GAMS

Appendix 3-2: Results of the 2<sup>nd</sup> simulation: Legal equality

Figure 9: Impact of the variation in the elasticity of female labor supply relative to real wages on the real wage gap



Source: Authors, by GAMS

Table 4: Deterioration of Moroccan economic situation (comparison between initial situation and the case where  $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=1000$ )

|     | Variation in Demand            |                                 | Variation in Total Production  |                                 | Variation in Exports           |                                 |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|     | $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=0,8$ | $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=1000$ | $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=0,8$ | $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=1000$ | $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=0,8$ | $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=1000$ |
| A00 | -0,68                          | -0,70                           | -0,91                          | -0,93                           | 0,47                           | 0,46                            |
| A05 | -0,42                          | -0,46                           | -0,06                          | -0,09                           | -0,21                          | -0,26                           |
| B00 | 0,89                           | 0,84                            | -0,04                          | -0,09                           | 2,69                           | 2,64                            |
| CA0 | -0,30                          | -0,32                           | -0,79                          | -0,82                           | 0,96                           | 0,93                            |
| CB0 | 0,61                           | 0,58                            | -2,10                          | -2,13                           | 3,18                           | 3,15                            |
| CC0 | 1,84                           | 1,80                            | 0,22                           | 0,18                            | 13,57                          | 13,53                           |
| CED | 1,99                           | 1,96                            | 0,26                           | 0,22                            | 2,06                           | 2,03                            |
| CF0 | 1,91                           | 1,88                            | 0,01                           | -0,02                           | 7,03                           | 6,99                            |
| CGM | -0,14                          | -0,17                           | -0,91                          | -0,95                           | 0,52                           | 0,49                            |
| DE0 | 0,18                           | 0,16                            | 0,14                           | 0,11                            | 0,29                           | 0,26                            |
| F00 | 0,35                           | 0,32                            | 0,24                           | 0,22                            | 2,32                           | 2,29                            |
| G00 | 0,17                           | 0,14                            | 0,48                           | 0,45                            | 0,46                           | 0,43                            |
| H00 | 1,14                           | 1,09                            | 0,37                           | 0,32                            | 2,06                           | 2,01                            |
| I00 | 0,35                           | 0,32                            | 0,30                           | 0,27                            | 0,62                           | 0,59                            |
| J00 | -0,21                          | -0,25                           | -0,16                          | -0,20                           | -0,20                          | -0,24                           |
| K00 | 0,08                           | 0,05                            | 0,05                           | 0,02                            | 0,33                           | 0,29                            |
| L68 | -0,02                          | -0,04                           | 0,02                           | 0,01                            | -0,43                          | -0,45                           |
| MN0 | -0,07                          | -0,12                           | -0,13                          | -0,18                           | 0,51                           | 0,45                            |
| O84 | -0,82                          | -0,87                           | -0,80                          | -0,85                           | -0,71                          | -0,78                           |
| PQ8 | -0,67                          | -0,72                           | -0,65                          | -0,70                           | -0,71                          | -0,78                           |
| RS0 | 0,04                           | 0,01                            | 0,13                           | 0,10                            | -0,32                          | -0,36                           |

Source: Authors, by GAMS


Table 5: Deterioration of some Moroccan macroeconomic indicators: comparison between initial situation and case where  $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=1000$ 

|                                 | Var% household income | Var % of GDP | Var % of FBCF | Var % of tax revenue | Var % of government revenue |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=1000$ | 0,831                 | 0,171        | 0,115         | -6,816               | -1,903                      |
| $\varepsilon_{\text{fem}}=0,8$  | 0,833                 | 0,174        | 0,116         | -6,807               | -1,900                      |

Source: authors, by GAMS

## Appendix 4: The impact of equalizing wages between the two genders on the demand for female labour

Figure 10: The impact of equalizing wages between the two genders on the demand for female labor



Source: autours, by GAMS

Table 6: The Impact of Wage Equalization Between Genders on the Moroccan Economy (Comparison Between the Initial Situation and the Case  $W_{fem} = 1.3$ ).

|     | Total Production Variation in % |                     | Variation in Demand % |                     | Export Variation in % |                     |
|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|     | $W_{fem=1,3}$                   | $W_{fem=1}$         | $W_{fem=1,3}$         | $W_{fem=1}$         | $W_{fem=1,3}$         | $W_{fem=1}$         |
| A00 | -0,6834197746679090             | -0,6834197746677740 | -0,9132078439790890   | -0,9132078439790500 | 0,4745210994139880    | 0,4745210994137490  |
| A05 | -0,4176551388947850             | -0,4176551388944010 | -0,0612715138627278   | -0,0612715138627704 | -0,2062970433669450   | -0,2062970433667420 |
| B00 | 0,8859297645434620              | 0,8859297645431140  | -0,0405123799772110   | -0,0405123799776361 | 2,6926324903860100    | 2,6926324903857100  |
| CA0 | -0,2960175402962680             | -0,2960175402963640 | -0,7934649268797440   | -0,7934649268799730 | 0,9568906926899760    | 0,9568906926895970  |
| CB0 | 0,6117121193839930              | 0,6117121193842320  | -2,0960811128262300   | -2,0960811128261300 | 3,1839629111439300    | 3,1839629111440100  |
| CC0 | 1,8408886229709900              | 1,8408886229710700  | 0,2226721371692360    | 0,2226721371693810  | 13,5707169189276000   | 13,5707169189278000 |
| CED | 1,9865928825181800              | 1,9865928825175400  | 0,2558029721943660    | 0,2558029721941490  | 2,0553080931030100    | 2,0553080931026900  |
| CFO | 1,9148585945096100              | 1,9148585945099100  | 0,0127596809269792    | 0,0127596809271285  | 7,0258099237048500    | 7,0258099237051600  |
| CGM | -0,1380591813270710             | -0,1380591813272860 | -0,9129403888774930   | -0,9129403888777110 | 0,5160603973566910    | 0,5160603973563890  |
| DE0 | 0,1839870894231540              | 0,1839870894232630  | 0,1403787287531590    | 0,1403787287532390  | 0,2899718702924390    | 0,2899718702925250  |
| FO0 | 0,3477385963771430              | 0,3477385963770290  | 0,2444108293890780    | 0,2444108293889810  | 2,3220556909430400    | 2,3220556909428600  |
| G00 | 0,1684177336713750              | 0,1684177336714220  | 0,4756316733487650    | 0,4756316733486810  | 0,4633389075553100    | 0,4633389075549940  |
| H00 | 1,1436965919836400              | 1,1436965919837200  | 0,3686653617138060    | 0,3686653617137930  | 2,0598508380951400    | 2,0598508380952000  |
| I00 | 0,3487727168793750              | 0,3487727168797500  | 0,3002762982359000    | 0,3002762982360100  | 0,6245659364513990    | 0,6245659364516480  |
| J00 | -0,2074620003368520             | -0,2074620003366610 | -0,1594955387687020   | -0,1594955387687150 | -0,1994750211392790   | -0,1994750211390180 |
| K00 | 0,0769521125253851              | 0,0769521125255691  | 0,0509082928799636    | 0,0509082928800838  | 0,3273229650218490    | 0,3273229650221120  |
| L68 | -0,0226278587685220             | -0,0226278587681355 | 0,0232880301993273    | 0,0232880301995473  | -0,4308114640877080   | -0,4308114640871360 |
| MN0 | -0,0685131082986447             | -0,0685131082986933 | -0,1343816099900590   | -0,1343816099900590 | 0,5133541889931120    | 0,5133541889927680  |
| O84 | -0,8180953224234390             | -0,8180953224232190 | -0,7996960980788150   | -0,7996960980784200 | -0,7062160031116860   | -0,7062160031110840 |
| PQ8 | -0,6731602192589650             | -0,6731602192588540 | -0,6511896736791810   | -0,6511896736790200 | -0,7121446149949510   | -0,7121446149946840 |
| RS0 | 0,0389458522048135              | 0,0389458522047017  | 0,1342287038676740    | 0,1342287038675060  | -0,3187063196949220   | -0,3187063196950800 |

Source : authors, by GAMS

Table 7: The Situation of Selected Moroccan Macroeconomic Indicators (Comparison Between the Initial Situation and the Case  $W_{fem} = 1.3$ ).

|               | % Change in Household Income | % Change in GDP    | Change in Gross Fixed Capital Formation (GFCF) | % Change in Tax Revenue | % Change in Government Revenue |
|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| $W_{fem=1,3}$ | 0,8329871503127020           | 0,1744838745648010 | 0,1162842473196330                             | -6,8068101974485700     | -1,9003676892620500            |
| $W_{fem=1}$   | 0,8329871503125980           | 0,1744838745646880 | 0,1162842473195810                             | -6,8068101974485800     | -1,9003676892621200            |

Source : authors, by GAMS